

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/992,387	11/16/2001	Anthony L. Coyle	TI-31794	7595	
23494 75	590 _ 11/03/2005		EXAMINER		
TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED			LEWIS, M	LEWIS, MONICA	
P O BOX 655474, M/S 3999			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DALLAS, TX	DALLAS, TX 75265			TATERNOMBER	
			2822	2822	
				DATE MAILED: 11/03/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/992,387	COYLE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Monica Lewis	2822				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. Ithe mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 October 2005</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 25-37 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 32-37 is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 25-31 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	n from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 November 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to the election filed October 3, 2005.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 6/20/05 has been entered.

Election/Restrictions

3. Applicant's election without traverse of Embodiment I in the reply filed on 10/3/05 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 28-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Miles et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,535,101).

In regards to claim 28, Miles discloses the following:

- a) a single-layered insulating interposer (16) film having a top surface and a bottom surface (For Example: See Figure 2);
- b) an electrically conductive pattern formed of a conductive film (20) disposed on the top surface of the insulating film (For Example: See Figure 2);

Application/Control Number: 09/992,387

Art Unit: 2822

c) vias (22) extending through the interposer filled with conductive material, contacting the conductive pattern and forming exit ports on the bottom surface (For Example: See Figure 2); and

e) the bottom surface immediately adjacent the exit ports free of a conductive pattern and contact pad (For Example: See Figure 2).

In regards to claim 29, Miles discloses the following:

a) solder balls (27) attached to the exit ports (For Example: See Figure 2).

In regards to claim 30, Miles discloses the following:

a) the conductive pattern includes attachment sites corresponding to contact pads on the integrated circuit chip (For Example: See Figure 1, Figure 2, Column 3 Lines 35-46 and Column 4 Lines 7-12).

In regards to claim 31, Miles discloses the following:

a) an integrated chip (10) attached to the substrate (For Example: See Figure 2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 25-27 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Miles et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,535,101).

In regards to claim 25, Miles et al. ("Miles") discloses the following:

- a) an integrated circuit chip (10) having a plurality of contact pads (14) (For Example: See Figure 2);
- b) a single-layered insulating interposer (16) film having a top surface and a bottom surface (For Example: See Figure 2);

Application/Control Number: 09/992,387

Art Unit: 2822

c) an electrically conductive pattern (20) formed of a conductive film (20) disposed on the top surface of the insulating film (For Example: See Figure 2);

- d) vias (22) extending through the interposer filled with conductive material, contacting the conductive pattern and forming exit ports on the bottom surface (For Example: See Figure 2);
- e) the bottom surface immediately adjacent the exit ports free of a conductive pattern and contact pad (For Example: See Figure 2); and
- f) electrical coupling members (26) disposed between the contact pads and conductive lines, connecting the chip to the interposer (For Example: See Figure 2).

Finally, the following limitation makes it a product by process claim: a) "thermocompressed." The MPEP § 2113, states, "Even though product -by[-] process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based upon the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product is made by a different process." *In re Thorpe*, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985)(citations omitted).

A "product by process" claim is directed to the product per se, no matter how actually made, In re Hirao and Sato et al., 190 USPQ 15 at 17 (CCPA 1976) (footnote 3). See also In re Brown and Saffer, 173 USPQ 685 (CCPA 1972): In re Luck and Gainer, 177 USPQ 523 (CCPA 1973); In re Fessmann, 180 USPQ 324 (CCPA 1974); and In re Marosi et al., 218 USPQ 289 (CAFC 1983) final product per se which must be determined in a "product by, all of" claim, and not the patentability of the process, and that an old or obvious product, whether claimed in "product by process" claims or not. Note that Applicant has the burden of proof in such cases, as the above caselaw makes clear.

Application/Control Number: 09/992,387

Art Unit: 2822

In regards to claim 26, Miles discloses the following:

a) solder balls (27) attached to the exit ports (For Example: See Figure 2).

In regards to claim 27, Miles discloses the following:

a) encapsulating material (30) the integrated circuit chip (For Example: See Figure 2).

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 6/20/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that "the limitation that vias forming exit ports on the bottom surface and the area near the exit ports being free of a conductive pattern and contact pad...distinguishes claim 25 from the prior art." However, Miles discloses vias (22) extending through the interposer (16) filled with conductive material, contacting the conductive pattern (20) and forming exit ports on the bottom surface wherein the bottom surface immediately adjacent the exit ports is free of a conductive pattern and contact pad (For Example: See Figure 2).

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Monica Lewis whose telephone number is 571-272-1838.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amir Zarabian can be reached on 571-272-1852. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300 for regular and after final

Art Unit: 2822

communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

ML October 27, 2005

922